

Síntesis

| Justificación | Education is an essential human capital factor that contributes to the reduction of poverty and inequalities, to the increase in business innovation, and to the acceleration of people's environmental behavior at a global scale. School dropout is an obstacle that prevents societies from achieving economic and social goals via education, and therefore represents a major challenge for governments and educational institutions. This study assesses the effect of school retention programs on the probability of school dropout in Medellin as one of the key strategies to tackle this social issue. The scobit model is estimated using micro data on enrollment consolidated by the Ministry of National Education and data on beneficiaries of school retention programs, year 2019. |
|-------------------------|---|
| Argumentos centrales | Students with access to the School Meals Program, School Transportation Program, or Complementary School Day Program reduce the probability of dropping out by 6.62 pp, 1.64 pp, and 2.84 pp, respectively Significant heterogeneity exists around the mean effect of school retention programs on the probability of school dropout explained by students' social class, nationality, and sex. School retention programs not just lower the overall students' probability of dropout, but also balance dropout rates between poor and nonpoor students The effects of school retention strategies on the reduction of school dropout probabilities favor the immigrant population over the locals and favor male over female students. |
| Sobre los autores | Natalia Arango Ramírez. Magister en Economía y Política de la Educación. Investigadora independiente. Profesional en la Secretaría de Desarrollo Económico, Alcaldía de Medellín. Andrés Camacho Murillo Doctor en Economía, Universidad de Massey, Nueva Zelanda. Docente-investigador Universidad Externado de Colombia, líder del grupo de investigación Estado y Economía, director de la línea Economía del Turismo, coordinador académico de la Especialización en Econometría y de la Maestría en Economía del Turismo, Universidad Externado de Colombia. |
| | |

Transforming Lives: The Positive Impact of School Retention Strategies on

the Probability of Students' Dropout in Medellin

Natalia Arango-Ramirez^a, Andres Camacho-Murillo^{b*}

^{*a*} Independent Researcher, Medellín, Colombia; ^{*b*} Department of Economics, Universidad Externado de Colombia, Bogotá, Colombia

* Universidad Externado de Colombia, Calle 12 No. 1-17 Este, Bogotá, Colombia (57) 601-3537000; giovanni.camacho@uexternado.edu.co

Abstract

This study assesses the effect of school retention strategies on the probability of school dropout in Medellin, Colombia. The scobit model is estimated using micro data on enrollment consolidated by the Ministry of National Education and data on beneficiaries of school retention programs, year 2019. Results show that the students with access to the School Meals Program, School Transportation Program, and/or Complementary School Day Program reduce the probability of dropping out by 6.62 pp, 1.64 pp, and 2.84 pp, respectively. However, the study finds significant heterogeneous effects around school retention programs that favor economically vulnerable students over those with better social status, immigrant students over the locals, and male students over female students.

Keywords: School Dropout; School Meals Program; School Transportation Program; Complementary School Day; Scobit Model.

Introduction

Education is one of the most contributing social factors to the countries' social and economic growth and development (Murillo & Gallón, 2018). As a human capital factor, education improves the quality of life of citizens by providing them with access to better jobs, wages, and cultural conditions (Cardona et al., 2007). Further, education drives business innovation (Camacho-Murillo et al., 2020) and contributes to overcoming poverty and reducing inequalities (Manzano & Ramírez, 2012). Amid the frenetic race to tackle climate change, education has the power to influence on people's pro-environmental behaviors (Pérez-Arango & Camacho-Murillo, 2022). Any public and private effort resulting in an increasing access to education and low school dropout rates is crucial for the society.

School dropout, understood as the abandonment of the academic system by students (Manzano & Ramírez, 2012), can be considered as an obstacle when it comes to accessing opportunities for the development of skills to obtain better working, cultural, and quality of life conditions. Some of the consequences associated with school dropout include intergenerational continuity of poverty and social inequalities, which negatively affects social integration and democracy strengthening (Espíndola & León, 2002). School dropout has a major negative effect on the students' economic, social, educational, and psychological environment, as the possibilities for their personal and economic growth decrease (Silvera, 2020). Therefore, the reduction of school dropout rates accounts for the success of some public education policies adopted by different countries (García, 2016).

According to the Secretary of Education of Medellin (SEM), major progress has been made in Medellin regarding educational coverage over the last few years; however, challenges

persist for students who start a school year and fail to finish it (SEM, 2020). Medellin is the second largest city in Colombia in terms of municipal population, with almost 2 million inhabitants that accounted for 5% of the national population in 2023 (DANE, 2023). Before the COVID-19 pandemic, the decline in the city's dropout rate was significant, reaching the lowest level historically in 2019, with 2.74% (SEM, 2020). However, with the arrival of the pandemic and quarantine restrictions, the dropout rate increased to 3.47%, with high and middle school students being the most affected (SEM, 2020). The COVID-19 pandemic accelerated the digital gap among students in some of the country's educational institutions (Cespedes-Parra & Camacho-Murillo, 2022), which may have led to higher dropout rates in Medellin, as was the case at the national level (Morales, 2021).

School dropout can be explained by different socioeconomic and cultural variables, both internal and external to the school system (Manzano & Ramírez, 2012). Variables are classified as protective risk factors, including the education level of the household head, household income, and sex (Rodríguez, 2021); and harmful risk factors, such as pregnancy, family type (single-parent or two-parent), employment status, overage, and family history of psychoactive substance use (Rodríguez, 2021). Public policies oriented to school retention are external factors that have not been fully explored in the Latin American literature, except for Jiménez and Jiménez (2016) and Carrillo (2014). Policymakers face the challenge of improving the living conditions of students to ensure that more children and young people graduate from basic education (Jiménez & Jiménez, 2016).

Medellin has adopted three relevant public strategies to reduce school dropout in public establishments, although the results have not been quantitatively assessed yet. These strategies have served as input for sound and evidence-based decision making by policy makers under eligibility criteria of beneficiaries. The School Meals Program (PAE, as per its Spanish acronym)

provides students with balanced food (breakfast, snacks, lunch, and other supplements). Its distribution among public schools depends on the characteristics of the educational entity, such as school hours per day and the availability and conditions of the school restaurant, among others (Decree No. 1852, 2015). The School Transportation Program provides round-trip mobility alternatives for students living in the city or within its jurisdiction (SEM, 2020). Finally, the Complementary School Day offers 4 hours of extracurricular activities per week for students in the areas of environment, science and technology, bilingualism, sports and recreation, culture, and citizenship education (Alcaldía de Medellín, 2014).

Carrillo (2014) and Jiménez and Jiménez (2016) showed that school retention strategies contribute to a decrease in student dropout. However, further research should be conducted on whether this dropout decrease is homogeneous among students or if their effects are heterogeneous around students' sociodemographic characteristics, such as the students' socioeconomic level (Nita et al., 2021; Barragán & Lozano, 2022), gender (Gómez-Restrepo et al., 2016; Rodríguez, 2021), and nationality (Colombian versus students from other countries). Literature has not addressed the latter, yet.

This paper aims to assess the impact of school retention strategies on the possibilities for student dropout in Medellin, analyzing two primary hypotheses. The first one is whether the probability of dropping out is lower for those students who have access to PAE, School Transportation, and/or Complementary School Day, respectively, compared to those who do not have access to these programs. The second one evaluates whether the expected decrease in student dropout resulting from the access to the assessed school retention programs differs among students in terms of relevant sociodemographic variables, such as the students' socioeconomic level (favoring economically vulnerable students over non-vulnerable students), nationality (favoring locals over foreigners), and sex (favoring women over men).

School dropout is characterized by the fact that it is not a sporadic event; therefore, it requires prevention and treatment alternatives. According to Espinoza et al. (2014), there is a clear relationship between school dropout, poverty, and social exclusion. Consequently, identifying whether school retention programs reduce the probability of dropping out of school in Medellin is a crucial step to understand the effectiveness of public intervention on social wellbeing, as well as to guide the public policymakers in their decisions on education. This research focuses on the pre-pandemic year, 2019, as significant progress was made in terms of school dropout up to that date. Furthermore, the school transportation strategy was not implemented throughout 2020; a gap that lasted until the moment at which educational institutions were re-opened.

This study uses consolidated enrollment data from Colombia' Ministry of National Education (MEN) on the district of Medellin, year 2019, along with analyzing the information concerning the beneficiaries of the retention strategies sourced from Medellin' Secretary of Education (SEM). The scobit model is used to estimate the probability of student dropout, conditioned by different explanatory factors, including school retention strategies. A literature review on the effects of public policies on school dropout is presented in Section 2. Section 3 evaluates data and estimates the scobit model, and Section 4 discusses the results of the study. Finally, Section 5 describes the conclusions and implications.

Literature Review

Various internal and external factors under study in the literature affect school dropout. Rodríguez (2021) identified the risk factors with the greatest influence on student dropout and classify them into protective and harmful factors. Protective risk factors include the characteristics that make student dropout less probable, such as the higher education level of household head, greater household income, doing extracurricular activities, and sex. Harmful risk factors are those that increase the probability of dropout, including pregnancy, living in a singleparent home, employment status, belonging to a family with a history of psychoactive substance use, and being overage (Rodríguez, 2021); the latter is when a student is two or three years older than the average age expected in a grade (MEN, 2023).

One of the relevant topics, although not studied extensively, is the effect of school retention-related public policies on student dropout rates. These policies tend to improve the school retention rates as the direct and indirect costs of going to school, including food and transportation, are substantially reduced (Rute & Verner, 2011). Lower costs via direct money transfers have a positive impact on the students' attendance, who no longer must work to contribute to their household and support their families financially, or caring for their siblings while their parents are working (Nita et al., 2021; Jiménez & Jiménez, 2016). The programs that help to reduce costs through the direct provision of food, school transportation (Carvalho et al., 2010), and extended day activities (Tenti, 2010) are also essential to boost school retention. The following is an analysis of empirical studies on the effects of school retention strategies in Latin American countries, including Colombia, which identifies the gaps that this research aims to fill.

Carrillo (2014) evaluated the impacts of the "Prepa Sí" program, implemented in the city of Mexico, on student dropout. This program comprises money transfer sent to students attending classes in the upper middle and high school levels during the school year. Using a binomial probit model, Carrillo (2014) found that the program reduces the probability of school dropout and improves the academic performance of Mexican students. Pedroza et al. (2021) assessed the impact of the Programa Escuelas de Tiempo Completo (Full-Time School Programs) in a Mexican institution and found that the program successfully lengthens the time students stay in school, thereby reducing the academic lag among students, and the students' probability of dropout in those who belong to a lower socioeconomic stratum.

Jiménez and Jiménez (2016) evaluated the effects of the Universal Child Allowance program on adolescent school dropout in Argentina. Using propensity score matching with data from the National Household Expenditure Survey, the authors concluded that the program significantly reduces school dropout levels. In Ecuador, Rosales (2020) used a difference-indifference model to assess the impact of PAE on the permanence of second- to seventh-year students, and found that the student promotion rate to the next grade increases by 10% in students with access to the program. In contrast, Espínola and Claro (2010) found that the results of the programs created to combat school dropout in Chile, called "Beca de Apoyo a la Retención Escolar" (School Retention Support Scholarship; BARE) and "Subvención Pro Retención" (Pro-Retention Subsidy; SPR), are insufficient and ineffective as the desired results were not achieved. The negative results found in Chile are due to methodological mistakes in the inclusion/exclusion of students from the program; the lack of financial resources to cover the opportunity cost of dropping out; and the omission of efficient monitorization of allocated resources to educational institutions (Espínola and Claro, 2010).

Colombia has developed several school retention programs, including the PAE, School Transportation, and Complementary School Day. Caicedo et al. (2021) evaluated the effect of the PAE on student dropout in Ciudad Bolívar (Bogotá), using data from the MEN and through student characterization. The study showed that the PAE significantly contributes to primary school students' retention rates, and its success lies in the fact that students can access food that cannot be supplied to their homes on a daily basis (Caicedo et al., 2021). In Cali, Vergara and Rodríguez (2015) found that the PAE contributes to the decrease in students' dropout and reprobation rates in the city, as well as to the increase in academic performance.

The Complementary School Day is another strategy adopted in Colombia that allows students to stay in school for additional hours to the regular school day. It does not imply that students can attend more regular classes during this time, but that they participate in formative, recreational, and sports activities that keep them away from the social risks to abandoning school. Using family fixed-effects models in panel data, statistics from the 2007–2008 System of Identification for Social Assistance Beneficiaries (SISBEN, for its Spanish acronym), and statistics from MEN, García et al. (2013) reported that all-day schooling reduces the probability of school dropout between 1 and 2 percentage points, whereas repetition decreases this possibility from 2 to 5 percentage points.

School transportation is crucial for the students' access to and retention in the educational system, mainly in rural or geographically remote areas. When the State provides school transportation through contracted service routes, it contributes to the regularity and punctuality of students' attendance to class, which tends to reduce school dropout rates (Leal and Oliveira, 2022). However, no empirical research evaluating the effect of transportation on reduced student dropout rates are found in the literature. This lack of studies may be partly due to the allocation of student quotas prioritizing the proximity of their residence to the educational entity, which leads to a low demand for transportation services.

In short, the literature shows that the retention strategies associated with direct and indirect money transfers have contributed to the decrease in student dropout in various Latin American cities and countries. No evidence exists on the impact of transportation programs on school dropout rates. There is major interest in identifying whether the impact of school retention strategies is homogeneous for all beneficiaries or if differences exist between students that may be explained by the students' sociodemographic characteristics.

Data and Method

This study focuses on the people from Medellin, Colombia, which account for 5% of Colombia's total population. The study uses the enrollment registry consolidated and validated by the MEN in the Integrated Enrollment System (SIMAT). This is a census-based dataset that considers the entire enrolled population of the city, which reached 299,071 students in 2023; therefore, the use of population expansion factors for estimations in this paper are not necessary. The figures allow us to identify students from public elementary and high schools who drop out during the school year (intra-year dropout), including students' socioeconomic and demographic characteristics. Another database sourced from the SEM is employed to identify the beneficiaries of the different retention strategies analyzed in this paper.

All public schools can receive support for the programs from the SEM at the beginning of each year. There are eligibility criteria of beneficiaries applied to each program. For PAE, the SEM initially chooses students already registered by each public school in SIMAT; then, the principal of each public school and a committee choose the beneficiaries giving priority to i) fulltime students (100%), ii) early childhood students (100%), iii) ethnic population, armed conflict victims, and disabled people (progressively from early childhood to superior levels), and iv) people enrolled in SISBEN (also progressive from early childhood to superior levels) (Rendón, 2024). The School Transportation strategy applies to students who live in neighborhoods where the need for mobility is not covered by public local transport. Students must reside in Medellin farther than 12.5 blocks from their schools, be enrolled in SIMAT, live in vulnerable households (strata 1, 2, or 3), and be between 5-13 years of age (SEM, 2024). For the Complementary School Day program, all students can participate (there is no eligibility criteria).

To assess the effects of school retention programs in Medellin on the probability of dropping out, which can occur anytime within the school year, this study uses econometric models for binary response variables (Train, 2009; Wooldridge, 2020). The linear probability model (LPM) is discarded in this study, as the predictive probabilities delivered from the regression are below 0 in about 14% of the observations; a result that is inappropriate in probabilistic analyses where the response variable must be between 0 and 1 (Stock & Watson, 2020). The goodness-of-fit of the logit model with respect to the probit model is marginally higher (measured through the Pseudo-R²), which allows for its selection (Alamilla & Arauco, 2009; Gujarati & Porter, 2006). The result of the likelihood ratio test between the logit model and the scobit model (also known as skewed logit) indicates that the latter is more appropriate for this study and is thus chosen (the comparative results of marginal effects between binary choice models in Appendix A show slight differences between logit and scobit models, and more notorious differences between the latter and the probit model).

The scobit model eases the assumption of logit and probit models that the marginal effect of a change in an independent variable (X_k) on the probability of an event (P_i) reaches its maximum value when the predicted P_i is close to 0.5 (Nagler, 1994). Therefore, changes in the predictive probabilities of student dropout in this study, explained by discrete changes in the school retention programs analyzed, can reach their maximum values at individual predictive probabilities other than 0.5. The scobit model has the functional form of Equation (1):

$$P(y = 1|x) = \frac{1}{(1 + e^{-z})^{\alpha}}$$
(1)

where P(y = 1|x) is the probability that a student drops out, conditional on several explanatory factors (x). The parameter α defines the response curve of the scobit function based on the observed data (skewness parameter), instead of assuming that the sigmoidal-shaped curve of logit (and probit) defines the best fit. This modification is achieved if $\alpha > 0$ under a Burr-10 distribution, which satisfies the condition that the probability density function of the logit f(z)can be maximized when the cumulative distribution function F(z) have values different than 0.5 for all $-\infty < z < \infty$ (Nagler, 1994). The maximum likelihood method is used to estimate Model (1). The latent variable z considers the following explanatory factors of Equation (2):

$$z = \beta_0 + \beta_1 PAE + \beta_2 Transp + \beta_3 JEC + Controles + u$$
(2)

where *PAE*, *Transp*, and *JEC* are the key dichotomous variables in this study. The treatment groups include those students who have access to any of these programs, as they fulfil the eligibility criteria mentioned earlier; the control groups include those who do not have access to any of the programs. Thus, *PAE* is equal to 1 if the student is a beneficiary of PAE, and equal to 0 otherwise; *Transp* is equal to 1 if the student has access to the School Transportation Program, and equal to 0 otherwise; and *JEC* equals 1 if the student is a beneficiary of the Complementary School Day Program, and equal to 0 otherwise.

The following variables are included as controls: *Stratum012* takes the value of 1 if the student belongs to socioeconomic strata 0, 1, or 2, and 0 if they belong to the other strata (3, 4, 5, or 6). This is a proxy variable for the socioeconomic level of students and their families. *Overage* is a variable that takes 1 if the student is overage and 0 otherwise. *Repeat* is equal to 1 if the student is a repeater and 0 otherwise. *Victim* is equal to 1 if the student is a victim of an armed

conflict (in a situation of displacement, disassociated from armed groups, son/daughter of demobilized adults, and victim of anti-personnel mines, among others), and 0 otherwise. *Ethnic* is a variable that takes the value of 1 if the student belongs to an ethnic group and 0 otherwise. *Disab* takes 1 if the student has some type of disability and 0 otherwise. *HouHead* takes the value of 1 if the student is the head of household and 0 otherwise. *Colombia* is equal to 1 if the student is Colombian and 0 otherwise. *Woman* is a dichotomous variable that takes the value of 1 if the student is female and 0 if the student is male. Finally, *Rural* takes the value of 1 if the student lives in a rural area and 0 if the student comes from an urban area.

A reduction in the probability of dropping out of school is expected if the student is a beneficiary of the different retention strategies (*PAE*, *Transp*, and *JEC*), *ceteris paribus*. Some characteristics, such as ethnic group, being overage, and being a victim of an armed conflict, among other variables included as control in this study, have not been empirically explored, which allows for providing new explanatory variables for school dropout. To assess the possible heterogeneous effects of school retention programs on the probability of student dropout in relation to student sociodemographic variables, we use the variable interaction model of Equation (3). Letter C_j represents the interacting sociodemographic variables of interest in this study as *Stratum012, Colombia*, and *Woman*., to identify whether there are differences in favor of economically vulnerable students (over non-vulnerable students), locals (over overseas), and women (over men).

$$z = \beta_0 + \beta_1 PAE + \beta_2 Transp + \beta_3 JEC + Controles + \sum_{j=1}^2 \delta_j PAE \cdot C_j$$
$$+ \sum_{j=1}^2 \delta_j Transp \cdot C_j + \sum_{j=1}^2 \delta_j JEC \cdot C_j + u$$
(3)

Routine statistical tests confirm the presence of heteroscedasticity in the errors (through the Breusch–Pagan/Cook–Weisberg test), which is corrected through robust standard errors. The variance inflation factor test yields a value of 1.02, indicating that a perfect or quasi-perfect multicollinearity between explanatory factors is not present (Wooldridge, 2020). The existence of low associations between independent variables is confirmed through tetrachoric correlations, which are commonly used in models with binary explanatory variables (Brown, 1977; StataCorp., 2021).

Table 1 presents a summary of descriptive statistics. In 2019, 3.8% of public elementary and high school students dropped out. Around 67 students out of every 100 benefited from the PAE, 3.6% were enrolled in the School Transportation program, and 3.05% participated in the Complementary School Day program. Almost 79% of students belong to strata 0, 1, and 2; 4.9% are overage (i.e. they are at least 3 years older than the average age required in the grade); 5% are repeaters; and 5.9% are victims of armed conflicts. Additionally, 1.9% of students belong to an ethnic group (indigenous, Romani, black, or Afro-descendants); 3.5% suffer from some type of disability (visual, intellectual, multiple, hearing, physical, psychosocial, or mental); 4.3% are children of mothers who are heads of households; 87% of the students are Colombian (the remaining are students from other countries, mostly Venezuelan, Ecuadorian, or Spanish); 50.3% of the students are female; and 5.9% reside in rural areas.

[Table 1 here]

Table 2 shows, as expected, that 98.4% of the students with access to PAE and 97.9% who used the Transportation Program did not drop out within the school year. Further, 99.1% who participated in the Complementary School Day program remained at school. This contingency analysis shows the apparent effectiveness of the three retention programs in reducing student dropout.

[Table 2 here]

Results

The results estimated in Equations (2) and (3) are shown in Table 3. For the two equations, the likelihood ratio test between the logit and scobit models (LR test) rejects the hypothesis that the scobit model is equal to the logit model. Therefore, better goodness-of-fit of the scobit model for statistical inference is confirmed. Analyses are presented in two analytical parts. The first shows the results of Equation (2), which allow for assessing the average marginal effect of a discrete change in the explanatory variables concerning the student dropout probability in public schools from Medellin. *PAE, Transp*, and *JEC* coefficients are used to test the first study hypothesis presented in the introduction. The second section shows the results of Equation (3), and the estimated coefficients of interactive variables are used to test the second hypothesis; this is, whether the marginal effects expected from the *PAE, Transp*, and *JEC* programs for the lower probability of student dropout differ among students, in function of the socioeconomic level (*Stratum012*), nationality (*Colombia*), and sex (*Woman*).

Impact of School Retention Programs

The main study findings show that students who are beneficiaries of the retention strategies under study, *PAE, Transp*, and *JEC*, have a lower probability of dropping out compared to students who are not beneficiaries of these programs. Specifically, the probability of student dropout falls, on average, by 6.6 pp if students are beneficiaries of the *PAE* (from 8.2% to 1.6% at the mean levels) by 1.64 pp if students are enrolled in the School Transportation program *-Transp* (from 3.9% to 2.3%), and by 2.84 pp if students receive Complementary School Day -*JEC* (from 3.9% to 1%). The results are statistically significant at the 1% critical value.

[Table 3 here]

These results show that the three retention strategies under study help reduce the probability of student dropout in official schools from Medellin, being *PAE* the most effective in reducing school dropout, followed by *JEC*. The results are in line with the findings obtained by Caicedo et al. (2021) for Ciudad Bolívar (Bogotá) and those reported by Rosales (2020) for Ecuador, showing that the PAE is an important incentive to attend classes, stay in school, and be promoted to the next grade, especially for low-income students. Likewise, the results are consistent with Leal and Oliveira (2022) and Carvalho et al. (2010), who consider that providing school transportation contributes to decreasing dropouts and increasing attendance. The findings also support the results by Pedroza et al. (2021), García et al. (2013), and Tenti (2010), which showed that extending school hours favors retention and prevents students from lagging or repeating grades.

The estimated coefficient of *Stratum012*, Equation (2), shows that, at the 1% significance level, it is 1.52 pp more likely for students who belong to a low socioeconomic level (strata 0–2) to drop out of school, compared with those from higher socioeconomic levels (strata 3–6). This result is associated with the findings obtained by Abril et al. (2008), Nita et al. (2021), and Barragán and Lozano (2022) in other countries, where poverty and low-income levels are decisive factors for school dropout. The estimated coefficient of *Colombia* is also statistically significant at 1% and shows that the Colombian students' probability of dropping out is 2.58 pp lower than the probabilities of dropping out of students from other countries (especially from Venezuela, Ecuador, and Spain). The challenges faced by migrants when settling in Colombia, associated with the difficulties in achieving financial and emotional stability, affects the permanence of immigrant students (Tovar, 2024). Finally, the estimated parameter for *Woman*, at a significance level of 1%, shows that it is less likely for women to drop out of school than men (0.66 pp).

The results for the control variables of Equation (2), not presented in Table 3, are of high interest to the literature. The estimated coefficients of *Victim*, *Ethnic*, and *Disab* indicate that students who are victims of conflicts, belong to ethnic groups and/or are disabled are more likely to drop out of school than their respective control groups. The result obtained for *Rural* suggests that students who are settled in rural areas are more likely to drop out too, reinforcing the relevance of school transportation programs for the improved mobility conditions of students, from their rural homes to the educational institutions. According to Rute and Verner (2011), lowering the costs of going to school reduces the dropout risks related to geographic isolation and the families' low income. Finally, the results concerning *Overage* and *Repeat* indicate that students who are overage or repeaters are more likely to drop out of school, which is in line with

the findings made by Román (2013) and the empirical study conducted by Rodríguez (2021) for Medellin.

Heterogeneous Effects of School Retention Programs

The results obtained from Equation (3) in Table 3, and summarized in Figure (1), show that the average marginal effects of each school retention strategy on the decrease in school dropout differ among students, according to their socioeconomic class, nationality, and sex. The results are statistically significant at the 1% level. Figure (1a) shows that while the probability of dropout of students receiving PAE is reduced by 7.29 pp if the student belongs to a low socioeconomic level (stratum 0–2), the same probability is only reduced by 4.12 pp in students of a different socioeconomic level. Thus, the reduction in the probability of dropout for students who are beneficiaries of the PAE is 3.09 pp higher for students in stratum 0–2 compared to students in the control group. This result implies a more positive effect of PAE in the reduction of school dropout in students that face economically vulnerable situations, which is the expected outcome in programs of this kind.

[Figure 1 here]

Figure (1a) also shows that the student retention effect of PAE in Medellin is much greater for the immigrant population than for Colombians (Medellín students), since in the former, the probability of dropping out is reduced by 11 pp when receiving the PAE, whereas in locals, the probability of dropping out is reduced by 6 pp in PAE beneficiaries. Therefore, the decrease in the probability of student dropout of PAE beneficiaries is 5.08 pp higher for students who are not Colombian. Considering the results for female students, the *PAE* \cdot *Woman* coefficient in Figure (1a) shows that the decrease in the probability of student dropout for PAE beneficiaries is 1.37 pp greater if the student is male compared to the control group. This is, although the probability of female student dropout is reduced by 5.93 pp when receiving the PAE, the probability of student dropout among the male population is reduced by 7.30 pp for PAE beneficiaries. This result leads to unbalances in the student dropout statistics between men and women in the short-term from the implementation of PAE. In the long term, this implies a more favorable labor inclusion for men than for women, thanks in part to lower school dropout rates for men that arise from the access to PAE.

Figure (1b) analyzes effects from the Transportation program. The reduction in the probability of dropout for beneficiaries of the School Transportation program is 0.55 pp greater for students of low socioeconomic status (*stratum012*) compared to students in the control group (-1.9 pp vs -1.3 pp, respectively). Therefore, the positive effect of transportation in student dropout reduction is greater for students from economically vulnerable classes as expected. Figure (1b) also shows that the decrease in the probability of student dropout in students enrolled in transportation programs is 2.6 pp greater for international students compared to Colombian students (-3.9 pp vs -1.4 pp, respectively), and 0.29 pp greater for male students compared to female students (-1.9 pp vs -1.6 pp, respectively). These two results imply a greater effect of the transportation service on school retention in the immigrant and male populations, compared to the local and female populations, respectively.

Finally, Figure (1c) shows that while the probability of dropout in students of vulnerable socioeconomic level is reduced by 3.2 pp in JEC beneficiaries, the probability of student dropout

in the population of higher stratum is reduced by 1.83 pp when JEC is available. Therefore, the decrease in the probability of student dropout for those who receive Complementary School Day benefits is 1.36 pp greater if the student belongs to stratum 0-2 compared to the control group. These results show the importance of extracurricular activities in the avoidance of student dropout in the case of economically vulnerable populations. The outcomes for *JEC* · *Colombia* coefficients showed in Figured (1c) identify better results from the Complementary School Day program in the immigrants compared to locals, as the reduction in the probability of student dropout is 1.28 pp greater for immigrant beneficiaries of *JEC* · *Woman* coefficients find better results from the Complementary School Day program in male students compared to female students, since the reduction in the probability of student dropout is 0.37 pp greater for men enrolled in the JEC program compared to women enrolled in the same program (3.02 pp vs 2.65 pp, respectively).

Conclusion and Discussion

This article analyzes the impact of the School Meals Program (PAE), School Transportation program (Transp), and/or Complementary School Day program (JEC) on the probability of dropping out of school in public schools from Medellin. Other relevant variables associated with student dropout are included, such as the students' socioeconomic level (approximated by socioeconomic stratum), nationality, and sex, in addition to various control variables. The scobit model is used based on data from Colombia's Ministry of National Education and Medellin' Secretary of Education, year 2019.

The results suggest that the school dropout probability is reduced by 6.62 pp when students have access to PAE, by 1.64 pp when they are beneficiaries of Transp, and by 2.84 pp

when they are enrolled in the JEC program. The program with the largest impact on reducing student dropout is the PAE, which provides students with daily food supplements during the school day. The success in reducing school dropout rates driven by the PAE can serve as a lesson for other territorial entities that face challenges with the program, including Vichada, La Guajira, and Soacha as the Unit of Meals to Learn has highlighted. The predicted probability of student dropout falls, on average, by 11.08 pp for students who are beneficiaries of all three programs at the same time. These results imply that school retention strategies are a great vehicle to continue driving educational retention by reducing financial burdens for low-income families.

Sources of heterogeneity are observed regarding the effects of each school retention program on the probability of student dropout. It was found that the decrease in the probability of dropping out is higher in students of vulnerable socioeconomic status than in students with better living standards, thanks to the access to PAE (higher by 3.09 pp), school transportation service (higher by 0.55 pp), and/or the Complementary School Day (higher by 1.36 pp). These outcomes show the effectiveness of school retention programs, not just to lower the overall students' probability of dropout, but also to balance dropout rates between poor and nonpoor students; a matter that will ultimately affect the students' economic opportunities in the long run.

The decrease in the probability of school dropout is found to be lower in local students who are beneficiaries of the retention programs compared to immigrant students receiving the same programs (mainly from Venezuela, Ecuador, and Spain). The differences in the reduction of the probabilities of dropping out between Colombians and immigrants are 5.08 pp (in PAE), 2.60 pp (in Transp), and 1.28 pp (in JEC). Similarly, in the case of female versus male students who are beneficiaries of any of the retention programs, the decrease in the probability of dropping out of school is lower for female than for male; the differences are 1.37 (in PAE), 0.29 pp (in Transp), and 0.37 pp (in JEC). The significant heterogeneous effects of school retention program

on the likelihood of dropping out favoring immigrants more than locals, and favoring male more than female, should bring the attention to education authorities in Medellin, who may examine whether the outcomes are in line with their expectations.

A limitation of this research is the impossibility of using a more accurate variable for the socioeconomic level of the students, such as the SISBEN score, due to the presence of errors and missing data in SIMAT records. Future research may include other variables associated with student dropout, including the parents' educational level and history of psychoactive substance use, among other variables, if other external data sources allow so. Finally, the Complementary School Day's second operator is Comfenalco, which work with many students, so it may be reasonable to think that the estimation of this program's effect on the decrease in student dropout is underestimated.

Given the importance and magnitude of some of the control variables used in the research, such as overage and grade repetition, which are some of the conditions that increase the probability of dropping out the most, it would be pertinent for future research to analyze the incidence of strategies focused on these problems. These include "Aceleración del Aprendizaje" (*Accelerated Learning*) in elementary school and "Caminar en Secundaria" (*Walking through High School*), which seek to even out students who are lagging after having repeated several times, who may be thus at a higher risk of dropping out of school, according to the results of this research.

References

Abril, E., Román, R., Cubillas, M. J., & Moreno, I. (2008). ¿Deserción o autoexclusión? Un análisis de las causas de abandono escolar en estudiantes de educación media superior en Sonora, México. [Dropout or Self-Exclusion? An Analysis of the Causes of School Dropout among High School Students in Sonora, Mexico]. https://redie.uabc.mx/redie/article/view/183/319

- Alamilla López, N. E., & Arauco, S. (2009). Microeconometría: Modelos de respuesta binaria [Microeconometrics: Binary Response Models]
- Alcaldía de Medellín (2014). *Acuerdo 50 de 2014*. Gaceta Oficial N°4280. <u>https://www.medellin.gov.co/irj/go/km/docs/pccdesign/SubportaldelCiudadano_2/PlandeDe</u> <u>sarrollo_0_15/Publicaciones/Shared%20Content/GACETA%200FICIAL/2015/Gaceta%20</u> <u>4280/ACUERDO%20050%20DE%202014.pdf</u>
- Barragán, S. P., & Lozano, O. L. (2022). Explanatory Variables of Dropout in Colombian Public Education: Evolution Limited to Coronavirus Disease. *European Journal of Educational Research*, 11(1), 287–304. https://doi.org/10.12973/eu-jer.11.1.287
- Brown, M. B. (1977). Algorithm AS 116: The Tetrachoric Correlation and its Asymptotic Standard Error. *Applied Statistics*, 26(3), 343. https://doi.org/10.2307/2346985
- Caicedo, F., Gutiérrez, G., Magin, G., & Molina, M. (2021). El Programa de Alimentación Escolar como Estrategia para la Permanencia Escolar [The School Meals Program as a Strategy for School Permanence].
- Camacho-Murillo, A., Méndez-Beltrán, J., & Laverde-Rojas, H. (2020). The role of Science-Oriented Workers on Innovation: The Case of the Accommodation Industry in Colombia (*Working Document No 66/2020*). Universidad Externado de Colombia).
- Cardona, M., Montes, I. C., Vásquez, J. J., Villegas, M. N., & Brito, T. (2007). Capital humano: una mirada desde la educación y la experiencia laboral [Human Capital: A View from Education and Work Experience].
- Carrillo, M. M. (2014). Política social para el avance de la educación: una evaluación del Programa de estímulos PrePa Sí del distrito federal (Vol. 18) [Social Policy for the Advancement of Education: An Evaluation of the Federal District's "PrePa Sí" Stimulus Program (Vol. 18)].
- Carvalho, W. L., Moreira da Cruz, R. O., Câmara, M. T., & Guilherme de Aragão, J. J. (2010). Rural School Transportation in Emerging Countries: The Brazilian Case. *Research in Transportation Economics*, 29(1), 401–409. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.retrec.2010.07.051
- Cespedes-Parra, J.; Camacho-Murillo, A. Digital Gap and Academic Performance in the Covid-19 Pandemic: The Case of a Public School in Bogota. *Preprints 2022*, 2022110213. https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202211.0213.v2
- Gujarati, D. & Porter, D. (2010). Econometrics, 5th edition. McGraw Hill
- DANE (2023). Population Projections, Demographic Indicators. National Administrative Department of Statistics
- Espíndola, E., & León, A. (2002). La deserción escolar en América Latina: un tema prioritario para la agenda regional [School Dropout in Latin America: A Priority Issue for the Regional Agenda]. Revista Iberoamericana de Educación, Vol. 30. https://doi.org/10.35362/rie300941

- Espínola, V., & Claro Sturdo, J. P. (2010). Estrategias de prevención de la deserción en la Educación Secundaria: perspectiva latinoamericana [Dropout Prevention Strategies in High School Education: A Latin American Perspective]. *Revista de educación*, N°Extra 1, 257-280
- Espinoza D., Óscar, Castillo G., Dante, González F., Luis Eduardo, & Loyola C., Javier. (2014). Factores familiares asociados a la deserción escolar en los niños y niñas mapuche: un estudio de caso [Family Factors Associated with School Dropout in Mapuche Children: A Case Study]. *Estudios pedagógicos (Valdivia)*, 40(1), 97-112. https://dx.doi.org/10.4067/S0718-07052014000100006
- García, B. (2016). Indicadores de abandono escolar temprano: un marco para la reflexión sobre estrategias de mejora [Early School Dropout Indicators: A Framework for Reflection on Improvement Strategies]. *Pedagogika*, *122*(2), 124–140. https://doi.org/10.15823/p.2016.25
- García, S., Fernández, C., & Weiss, C. C. (2013). Does lengthening the school day reduce the likelihood of early school dropout and grade repetition? Evidence from Colombia. *Documento de Trabajo EGOB No 4*. https://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2926193
- Gómez-Restrepo, C., Padilla Muñoz, A., & Rincón, C. (2016). Deserción escolar de adolescentes a partir de un estudio de corte transversal: Encuesta Nacional de Salud Mental Colombia 2015 [Adolescent School Dropout from a Cross-Sectional Study: 2015 National Mental Health Survey, Colombia]. *Revista Colombiana de Psiquiatría*, 105-112.
- Jiménez, M., & Jiménez, M. (2016). Efectos del programa Asignación Universal por Hijo en la deserción escolar adolescente [The Effects of the Universal Child Allowance Program on Adolescent School Dropout]. *Cuadernos de Economía*, 35(69), 709–752. https://doi.org/10.15446/cuad.econ.v35n69.54261
- Leal, M. V., & Oliveira, M. (2022). School Transportation Program as Means to Improve Public Education in a Minor Rural Town in Northeastern Brazil. *Ensaio*, 30(114), 182–206. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0104-40362021002903093
- Manzano, D. J., & Ramírez, J. R. (2012). Interrelación entre la deserción escolar y las condiciones socioeconómicas de las familias: el caso de la ciudad de Cúcuta (Colombia) [Interrelationship Between School Dropout and Family Socioeconomic Conditions: The Case of the City of Cúcuta (Colombia)], *Revista de Economia del Caribe*, 10.
- Morales Vega, J. (2021). Deserción escolar en el marco de la Pandemia del COVID-19 en Colombia [School Dropout in the Context of the COVID-19 Pandemic in Colombia]. Universidad de los Andes. Available at: http://hdl.handle.net/1992/55077
- Murillo, A. F., & Gallón, A. F. (2018). Análisis de los determinantes socioeconómicos que influyen en la deserción escolar de la Institución Educativa Monseñor Díaz Plata en el Municipio de El Tarra, Norte de Santander [An Analysis of the Socioeconomic Decisive Factors that Influence School Dropout at the Monseñor Díaz Plata Educational Institution in the Municipality of El Tarra, Northern Santander] (master's thesis, Universidad Santo Tomás]. https://repository.usta.edu.co/

- Nagler, J. (1994). Scobit: An Alternative Estimator to Logit and Probit. *American Journal of Political Science*, 38(1), 230–255. https://doi.org/10.2307/2111343
- Nita, A.-M., Motoi, G., & Ilie Goga, C. (2021). School Dropout Determinants in Rural Communities: the Effect of Poverty and Family Characteristics. *Revista de Cercetare Si Interventie Sociala*. https://doi.org/10.33788/rcis.74.2
- Pedroza, L. H., Ruiz, G., & López, A. Y. (2021). Duración de la jornada escolar y mejora del aprendizaje: Impacto del Programa Escuelas de Tiempo Completo en una entidad mexicana. [The School Day Length and Enhanced Learning: The Impact of the Full-Time Schools Program in a Mexican Entity]. *Archivos Analíticos de Políticas Educativas*, 29(152). https://doi.org/10.14507/epaa.29.5679
- Pérez-Arango, D., & Camacho-Murillo, A. (2022). Educación y comportamiento ambiental. Un estudio de caso [Education and Environmental Behavior. A Case Study]. *Revista de Economía Institucional*, 25(48), 193–213. https://doi.org/10.18601/01245996.v25n48.11
- Rendón, E. (2024). La Alcaldía de Medellín inició la socialización sobre el Programa de Alimentación Escolar -PAE- en los 21 territorios del Distrito. Secretary of Education of Medellin <u>https://www.medellin.gov.co/es/sala-de-prensa/noticias/la-alcaldia-de-medellininicio-la-socializacion-sobre-el-programa-de-alimentacion-escolar-pae-en-los-21-territoriosdel-distrito/</u>
- Rodríguez, D. (2021). Análisis de la deserción escolar por localidades en Bogotá [Analysis of School Dropout Rates by Cities in Bogota]. (Master's thesis, Universidad Nacional de Colombia). https://repositorio.unal.edu.co/
- Román, M. (2013). Factores asociados al abandono y la deserción escolar en América Latina: una mirada en conjunto [Factors Associated with School dropout and Abandonment in Latin America: An Overview]. *REICE. Revista Iberoamericana Sobre Calidad, Eficacia y Cambio En Educación, 11*(2), 33–59. http://www.rinace.net/reice/numeros/arts/vol11num2/art2.pdf
- Rosales, C. (2020). Impacto del Programa de Alimentación Escolar (PAE) del Ecuador en la matrícula y deserción escolar [The Impact of Ecuador's School Meals Program (PAE) on School Enrollment and Dropout] (Master thesis, Flacso Andes]. https://repositorio.flacsoandes.edu.ec/
- Rute, A., & Verner, D. (2011). Factores de la deserción escolar en Brasil. El papel de la paternidad temprana, la mano de obra infantil y la pobreza [Factors of School Dropout in Brazil. The Role of Early Parenthood, Child Labor and Poverty]. *El Trimestre Económico*, 78(310), 377–402. https://doi.org/10.20430/ETE.V78I310.38
- SEM (2020). 2020 Management Report. Secretary of Education of Medellin.
- SEM (2024). Programa de Transporte Escolar de la Secretaría de Educación de Medellín, Secretary of Education of Medellin. <u>https://www.medellin.gov.co/es/secretaria-de-</u> <u>educacion/estudiantes/transporte-</u>

escolar/#:~:text=Tener%20entre%2010%20y%2028,educativas%20privadas%20becados%2 0al%20100%20%25.

Silvera, L. M. (2020). Diseño y validación de un modelo integrador para la medición, análisis y seguimiento de la deserción escolar en instituciones oficiales de educación básica y media del Distrito de Barranquilla y el Departamento del Atlántico [Design and Validation of an Integrative model for the measurement, Analysis and Monitoring of School Dropout in Official Elementary and Middle School Institutions in the District of Barranquilla and the Department of Atlántico] (Doctoral thesis, Universidad del Norte] https://manglar.uninorte.edu.co/

StataCorp. (2021). Statistical Software (Stata: Release 17.). College Station, TX: StataCorp LLC.

Stock, J. & Watson, M. (2020). Introduction to Econometrics, 4th edition, ed. Pearson Education.

- Tenti, E. (Coord). (2010). Estado del arte: Escolaridad primaria y jornada escolar en el contexto internacional. Estudio de casos en Europa y América Latina [State of the Art: Primary School and School Hours in the International Context. European and Latin American Case Studies]. *SEP, IIPE-UNESCO- Buenos Aires Regional Headquarters*.
- Tovar, S. (February 2, 2024). Entre 2022 y 2023, de colegios y escuelas desertó el equivalente a la población de Pasto [The Equivalent of the Population of Pasto Dropped Out of Schools and Colleges between 2022 and 2023]. *Red Mas Noticias*, https://redmas.com.co/colombia/Entre-2022-y-2023-de-colegios-y-escuelas-deserto-el-equivalente-a-la-poblacion-de-Pasto-20240202-0036.html

Train, K.E. (2009). Discrete Choice Methods with Simulation. Cambridge University Press.

Vergara, R., & Rodriguez, M. E. (2015). Políticas públicas instrumentales; la alimentación escolar como una respuesta a la deserción, análisis desde el enfoque sistémico [Instrumental Public Policies; School Meals as a Response to Dropout. An Analysis from a Systemic Approach]. Quaestiones Disputatae Vol. 8 8(16), 176–189.

Wooldridge, J. (2020). Introduction to Econometrics: A Modern Approach, 7th edition, Cengage.

| Table I | | | | |
|------------------------|-------|--------------------|--|--|
| Descriptive Statistics | | | | |
| Variable | Value | Standard deviation | | |
| Dropout | .0380 | .1912 | | |
| PAE | .6745 | .4686 | | |
| Transp | .0361 | .1865 | | |

TT 1 1 1

| JEC | .0305 | .1719 |
|------------|-------|-------|
| Stratum012 | .7865 | .4098 |
| Overage | .0491 | .2161 |
| Repeat | .0499 | .2177 |
| Victim | .0587 | .2350 |
| Ethnic | .0194 | .1380 |
| Disab | .0352 | .1842 |
| HouHead | .0049 | .0696 |
| Colombia | .8768 | .3285 |
| Woman | .5030 | .5000 |
| Rural | .0593 | .2363 |

Source: Prepared by the authors based on data obtained from the MEN and SEM.

Table 2School dropout by student retention program (%)

| DROPOUT | PAE | C (%) | Transj | D (%) | JEC | (%) |
|---------|------|-------|--------|-------|------|------|
| (%) | No | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes |
| No | 91.6 | 98.4 | 96.1 | 97.9 | 96.1 | 99.1 |

| Yes | 8.4 | 1.6 | 3.9 | 2.1 | 3.9 | 0.9 |
|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Source: Prepared by the authors based on data obtained from the MEN and SEM.

Table 3Results from the scobit model (marginal effects)

| Dependent variable Dropout | | |
|----------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Independent variables | Equation (2) | Equation (3) |
| PAE | 0662*** | |
| | (.0009) | |
| Transp | 0164*** | |
| - | (.0015) | |

| Dependent variable Dropout | | |
|--------------------------------|--------------|----------------|
| Independent variables | Equation (2) | Equation (3) |
| JEC | 0284*** | • , <i>i</i> |
| | (.0012) | |
| Stratum012 | .0152*** | |
| | (.0007) | |
| Colombia | 0258*** | |
| | (.0012) | |
| Woman | 0066*** | |
| | (.0006) | |
| Control groups ¹ | Yes | Yes |
| $P4F \cdot Stratum(12)$ | | - 0309*** |
| $PAF \cdot Stratum 012 = 0$ | | - 0412*** |
| $PAE \cdot Stratum012 = 1$ | | 0729*** |
| PAE · Colombia | | 0508*** |
| $PAE \cdot Colombia = 0$ | | - 1115*** |
| $PAE \cdot Colombia = 1$ | | - 0607*** |
| PAE · Woman | | 0137*** |
| $PAF \cdot Woman = 0$ | | - 0730*** |
| $PAE \cdot Woman = 1$ | | - 0593*** |
| Transp · Stratum012 | | - 0055*** |
| $Transp \cdot Stratum 012 = 0$ | | - 0132*** |
| $Transp \cdot Stratum012 = 1$ | | - 0187*** |
| Transp · Colombia | | 0260*** |
| $Transp \cdot Colombia = 0$ | | - 03989*** |
| Transp Colombia = 1 | | - 01386*** |
| Transp · Woman | | 0029*** |
| $Transp \cdot Woman = 0$ | | - 01866*** |
| $Transp \cdot Woman = 1$ | | - 01573*** |
| JEC · Stratum012 | | - 0136*** |
| $JEC \cdot Stratum012 = 0$ | | 0183*** |
| $JEC \cdot Stratum012 = 1$ | | 0319*** |
| JEC · Colombia | | .01282*** |
| $JEC \cdot Colombia = 0$ | | 03953*** |
| $JEC \cdot Colombia = 1$ | | 02671*** |
| JEC · Woman | | .0037*** |
| $JEC \cdot Woman = 0$ | | 03029*** |
| $JEC \cdot Woman = 1$ | | 02651*** |
| Remarks | 299 071 | 299 071 |
| Log Pseudolikelihood | -41 687 | -41 667 |
| Lnalpha | 1.1703** | .7887** |
| LR test (Prob > Chi2) | 0.001 | 0.01 |

¹Overage, Repeat, Victim, Ethnic, Disab, HouHead, Rural Source: Prepared by the authors based on data obtained from the MEN and SEM. Figure 1

Heterogeneous Marginal Effects from PAE, Transp, and JEC





(1c)

| Dependent Variable: Dropout | | | | |
|-----------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | LPM | probit | logit | scobit |
| PAE | 0673*** | 0650*** | 0662*** | 0662*** |
| | (.0009) | (.0009) | (.0009) | (.0009) |
| Transp | 0178*** | 0137*** | 0162*** | 0164*** |
| | (.0014) | (.0016) | (.0015) | (.0015) |
| JEC | 0252*** | 0267*** | 028*** | 0284*** |
| | (.0010) | (.0013) | (.0012) | (.0012) |
| Stratum012 | .0174*** | .0152*** | .0153*** | .0152*** |
| | (.0007) | (.0007) | (.0007) | (.0007) |
| Colombia | 0260*** | 0247*** | 0255*** | 0258*** |
| | (.0012) | (.0012) | (.0012) | (.0012) |
| Woman | 0071*** | 0064*** | 0065*** | 0066*** |
| | (.0006) | (.0006) | (.0006) | (.0006) |
| Overage | .0861*** | .0671*** | .0640*** | .0623*** |
| | (.0027) | (.0023) | (.0022) | (.0023) |
| Repeat | .0486*** | .0418*** | .0396*** | .0381*** |
| | (.0023) | (.0020) | (.0020) | (.0020) |
| Victim | .0604*** | .0523*** | .0549*** | .0549*** |
| | (.0021) | (.0019) | (.0020) | (.0020) |
| Ethnic | .0114*** | .0102*** | .0100*** | .0096*** |
| | (.0030) | (.0025) | (.0025) | (.0025) |
| Disab | .0048** | .0069*** | .0070*** | .0071*** |
| | (.0021) | (.0019) | (.0018) | (.0018) |
| HouHead | .0051 | .0060 | .0060 | .0060 |
| | (.0053) | (.0051) | (.0051) | (.0051) |
| Rural | .0034** | .0027** | .0032** | .0033** |
| | (.0015) | (.0014) | (.0014) | (.0014) |
| Inalpha | | | | 1.1703** |
| 2 | | | | (.5445) |
| Pseudo R^2 | | .1348 | .1374 | |
| Properly classified | 94.25% | 96.20% | 96.21% | |
| Area under the ROC curve | | .7764 | .7764 | |

Appendix A Estimation of Discrete Choice Models for Binary Response (Marginal Effects)

Source: Prepared by the authors based on data obtained from the MEN (2019) and SEM.

Serie Documentos de Trabajo Facultad de Economía - Universidad Externado de Colombia

| N.º | Autor | Título | Año |
|-----|---|---|------|
| 1 | Juan Santiago Correa | Urbanismo y transporte: el tranvía de Medellín (1919-1950) | 2002 |
| 2 | Álvaro H. Chaves C. y Helmuth Y. Arias G. | Cálculo de la tasa interna de retornos educativos en Colombia | 2002 |
| 3 | Fernando Bernal C. | Gobernanza pública, violencia y políticas de alivio a la pobreza. La ampliación del marco conceptual del Programa Familias en Acción | 2003 |
| 4 | Sandra L. Guerrero | Evaluación de la racionalidad del plan de descontaminación del río Bogotá a partir del análisis de costo mínimo y tasa retributiva | 2003 |
| 5 | Humberto Bernal Castro y Byron Ortega | ¿Se ha desarrollado el mercado secundario de acciones colombiano durante el período 1988-2002? | 2004 |
| 6 | Liliana Chicaíza | Valoración de primas de reaseguro para enfermedades catastróficas utilizando el modelo de Black-Scholes | 2005 |
| 7 | Rosaura Arrieta, Aura García y Elsa Doria | Movilidad social en el asentamiento subnormal de Ranchos del Inat 2004 | 2005 |
| 8 | Álvaro H. Chaves C. | Evolución de la productividad multifactorial, ciclos y comportamiento de la actividad económica en Cundinamarca | 2005 |
| 9 | Liliana López C. y Fabio F. Moscoso | La eficiencia portuaria colombiana en el contexto latinoamericano y sus efectos en el proceso de negociación con Estados Unidos | 2005 |
| 10 | Andrés F. Giraldo P. | La neutralidad del dinero y la dicotomía clásica en la macroeconomía | 2005 |
| 11 | Diego Baracaldo, Paola Garzón y Hernando Vásquez | Crecimiento económico y flujos de inversión extranjera directa | 2005 |
| 12 | Mauricio Pérez Salazar | Mill on Slavery, Property Rights and Paternalism | 2006 |

| 13 | Fabio F. Moscoso y Hernando E. Vásquez | Determinantes del comercio intraindustrial en el Grupo de los tres | 2006 |
|----|--|---|------|
| 14 | Álvaro H. Chaves C. | Desestacionalización de la producción industrial con la metodología x- 12 arima | 2006 |
| 15 | Ómar Fernando Arias | El proceso de fluctuación dinámica de la economía colombiana: reconsideraciones teóricas sobre un fenómeno empírico | 2006 |
| 16 | Homero Cuevas | La empresa y los empresarios en la teoría económica | 2007 |
| 17 | Álvaro H. Chaves C. | Ventajas comparativas del sector agropecuario colombiano en el marco de los recientes acuerdos comerciales | 2007 |
| 18 | William Lizarazo M. | La controversia del capital y las comunidades científicas | 2007 |
| 19 | Mario García y Edna Carolina Sastoque | Pasiones e intereses: la guerra civil de 1876-1877 en el Estado Soberano de Santander | 2007 |
| 20 | José Gil Díaz | Ministerio de Finanzas: funciones, organización y reforma | 2007 |
| 21 | Mauricio Pérez Salazar | Economía y fallos constitucionales: la experiencia colombiana desde la vigencia de la Carta Política de 1991 hasta 2003 | 2007 |
| 22 | Mauricio Rubio y | Análisis de series de tiempo del secuestro en Colombia | 2007 |
| 23 | Luis Felipe Camacho | Reflexiones de economía política: la justicia social en la obra de Léon Walras | 2008 |
| 24 | Óscar A. Alfonso R. | Economía institucional de la intervención urbanística estatal | 2008 |
| 25 | Mauricio Rubio | Palomas y Sankis. Prostitución adolescente en República Dominicana | 2008 |
| 26 | Helmuth Yesid Arias Gómez | La descentralización en Colombia y las autonomías en España | 2009 |
| | Andrés Mauricio Vargas P. y Camilo Rivera Pérez | Controles a la entrada de capitales y volatilidad de la tasa de cambio: | •••• |
| 27 | | ¿daño colateral? La experiencia colombiana | 2009 |
| 28 | Óscar A. Alfonso R. | Economía institucional de la ocupación del suelo en la región | 2009 |
| | | metropolitana de Bogotá | |
| 29 | Álvaro Hernando Chaves | Dinámica de la inflación en Colombia: un análisis empírico a partir de | 2010 |
| | Castro | la curva de Phillips neokeynesiana (nkpc) | 2010 |
| 20 | Diliana Vanessa Cediel | Determinantes del recaudo tributario en los municipios del | 2010 |
| 50 | Sánchez | departamento de Cundinamarca | 2010 |
| 21 | Óscor A Alfonso P | Impactos socioeconómicos y demográficos de la metropolización de la | 2010 |
| 51 | Oscar A. Anonso K. | población colombiana y de los mercados de trabajo y residenciales | 2010 |
| 32 | Mauricio Rubio | Entre la informalidad y el formalismo: La acción de tutela en | 2011 |
| | | Colombia | |
| 33 | Óscar A. Alfonso R. | La geografia del desplazamiento forzado reciente en Colombia | 2011 |
| 34 | Yasmín L. Durán B. | Impacto impositivo en las decisiones de inversión y armonización | 2011 |

| | | tributaria. Caso de estudio: la Unión Europea | |
|----|---------------------------------------|---|------|
| 35 | Ernesto Cárdenas y Jaime Lozano | Economía experimental: una medición de confianza y confiabilidad | 2011 |
| 36 | Helmuth Arias Gómez | Tendencias de la industria regional | 2011 |
| 37 | Isidro Hernández Rodríguez | Tributación en Colombia y los orígenes de su brecha impositiva, 1821-1920 | 2011 |
| 38 | Óscar A. Alfonso R. | Polimetropolitanismo y fiscalidad, Colombia 1984-2010 | 2012 |
| 39 | Álvaro Hernando Chaves Castro | Acuerdos comerciales y posibilidades de desarrollo regional: el caso dela economía del Meta | 2012 |
| 40 | Óscar A. Alfonso R | El enigma del método y el inconformismo radical: crítica y alternativas a los procedimientos de investigación con sujeto ausente | 2012 |
| 41 | José Gil-Díaz | Grecia, West Virginia y el ajuste | 2012 |
| 42 | Darío Germán Umaña Mendoza | La propiedad intelectual y la salud | 2013 |
| 43 | Mauricio Rubio | Los sospechosos secuestros de la delincuencia común en Colombia, 1990-2003 | 2013 |
| | Darío Germán Umaña | El Tratado de Libre Comercio con los Estados Unidos y sus efectos | 2012 |
| 44 | Mendoza | sobre la inversión y las políticas públicas | 2015 |
| 45 | Álvaro Martín Moreno Rivas | Teorías y modelos del ciclo político de los negocios | 2013 |
| 46 | Carlos A. Garzón R. y Anna Preiser | Multidimensional well-being inequality social evaluation Gini function for Colombia 2012 | 2014 |
| 47 | Óscar A. Alfonso R. | De la Korima a Mottainai. Modos de vida alternativos para enfrentar el desperdicio de alimentos y restaurar la soberanía del consumidor | 2014 |
| 48 | Juan Pablo Roa B. | Equivalencia ricardiana: una evaluación empírica para la economía colombiana 1985-2010 | 2014 |
| 40 | Karla Bibiana Mora | Un modelo econométrico del mercado laboral y la elección ocupacional | 2014 |
| 47 | Martínez | del empleo calificado en Colombia | 2014 |
| 50 | Manuel Andrés Rincón Gómez | Determinantes de financiación de firmas manufactureras: evidencia empírica para Colombia 1999-2006 | 2014 |
| 51 | Óscar A. Alfonso R. | Observatorio MetroMun. Índice alternativo de desarrollomunicipal 2013. Un estudio a partir de las fragilidades y resistencias del territorio colombiano | 2015 |

| | | Observatorio Hambre Cero. La cadena de las pérdidas de valor | |
|----|---|---|------|
| 52 | Óscar A. Alfonso R. | de los alimentos y las pérdidas de área sembrada en Colombia,2007- 2012 | 2015 |
| 53 | Óscar A. Alfonso R. | Observatorio MetroMun. Ruralidades. Aportes para laconstrucción de una visión comprehensiva del campo | 2016 |
| | | colombiano | |
| 54 | Ósaar A. Alfansa P | Observatorio Hambre Cero. El diseño de instituciones contra la | 2016 |
| 54 | Oscar A. Anonso K. | pérdida y el desperdicio de alimentos. | 2010 |
| 55 | | State capacity and support for democracy: challenges and | 2016 |
| 22 | Julian Arevalo B. | opportunities for post conflict Colombia | 2016 |
| 56 | Saira Samur Pertuz | La disparidad fiscal en Colombia en el período del sistemageneral de participaciones | 2016 |
| 57 | Óscar A. Alfonso R., Laura Amézquitay Sandra Coral | Observatorio MetroMun. Análisis económico-espacial de la mortalidad empresarial en épocas de ralentización de la economía metropolitana de Bogotá | 2016 |
| 58 | Óscar A. Alfonso R., Rafael Barrera yPedro I. Bernal | Observatorio MetroMun. El modo de vida popular y la regularización barrial en Bogotá | 2017 |
| 50 | | Simulación de políticas públicas con modelos de equilibrio | 2019 |
| 39 | David Rodriguez | general computable | 2018 |
| 60 | Óscar A Alfonso P | Observatorio MetroMun. Aproximación a la historia de la | 2018 |
| 00 | Oscal A. Alloliso K. | fragmentación jurisdiccional del territorio colombiano | 2018 |
| 61 | Pedro I. Bernal | La teoría económica de la regulación. Una lectura | 2018 |
| 01 | Tearo I. Dernar | fundamentada en los problemas de información | 2010 |
| 62 | Óscar A Alfonso R | Observatorio MetroMun. Coyuntura metropolitana y municipal | 2018 |
| 02 | | índice alternativo de desarrollo municipal 2017 | 2010 |
| | Óscar A. Alfonso R., Diana | Observatorio MetroMun. Coyuntura metropolitana y municipal Aporocidio la deconstrucción de la mal llamada "limpieza | |
| 63 | CarolinaCamargo y Laura Camila Garzón | social" y una aproximación a los modos, medios, tiempos ylugares en Bogotá | 2019 |
| | | Observatorio MetroMun. Coyuntura metropolitana y municipal | |
| 64 | Óscar A. Alfonso R. | salud debido a su uso en la aspersión aérea de los cultivos delarbusto de coca, Colombia 1998-2017 | 2019 |
| 65 | Óscar A. Alfonso R., Mariana BenítezR., Andrés | Coalición Estudios - Laboratorio de Usos Legales de los Alcaloides. La industria encapsulada. El potencial de los usos | |
| | Castro C., Andrea | médicos y científicos del alcaloide de la coca en el contexto dela | 2019 |
| | | | |

| | Constantin C., David Gaona | fiscalización internacional a su circulación. | |
|----|--|---|------|
| | R., Catherine Lis C. y | | |
| | Valeria Rivera C. | | |
| 66 | Andrés Camacho, Jairo Méndez, Henry | The role of science-oriented workers on innovation: the case of | 2020 |
| | Laverde | the accommodation industry in Colombia | |
| 67 | Federico Corredor, Paola Ríos, DavidRodríguez | The effect of COVID-19 and emergency policies on Colombian households' income | 2021 |
| 68 | Óscar A. Alfonso R. et al. | Aportes a la política para prevenir la pérdida y el desperdicio de alimentos en Colombia | 2021 |
| | | La designaldad y la pandamia en Dogotá: Las braches | |
| 69 | Óscar A. Alfonso R | socioespaciales como determinantes de la probabilidad delcontagio y de la letalidad del SARS-CoV-2 | 2021 |
| 70 | Juan Carlos Castro | Big Recessions and Slow Recoveries | 2022 |
| 71 | Juan Carlos Castro | Financial Crises and Expectation-driven Recessions | 2022 |
| 72 | Óscar A. Alfonso R. et al. | La metropolización planetaria y sus escalas en Colombia | 2022 |
| => | Tatiana Gélvez, Carol Martínez y Laura Molina | Análisis de la situación socioeconómica de las mujeres | 2023 |
| 73 | | rurales en Colombia 2022-2023 | |
| | · · · · · · | Asediados por la muerte | |
| 74 | Oscar A. Alfonso R. | Mortalidad precoz y longevidad, Colombia 2019-2021 | 2023 |
| 75 | Michel Bruni, Tatiana Gélvez Rubio, Carol Martínez Algarra, María Alejandra Suárez, María Camila Hernández Vargas, Federico Rincón Ariza y Sara Otavo Peña | Análisis de la transición demográfica, el mercado laboral y la migración. Proyecciones para Colombia | 2024 |
| | | Observatorio Metromun | |
| 76 | Óscar A. Alfonso R. | Generación Li. Un ensayo especulativo sobre el surgimiento del SARS-CoV-2 en China | 2024 |
| | Óscar A. Alfonso R., Nara Sofía Quintana Castro | Observatorio Metromun | |
| 77 | Santiago Rojas Gómez y María Alejandra Suárez Fernández | La transparencia del velo de la ignorancia. Análisis de la brecha cognitiva en educación y sus determinantes socioespaciales en Bogotá 2023 | 2024 |
| 78 | Giovanni Andrés Camacho Murillo | Does theft crime influence international students' choices among destinations? The case of credit mobility studies | 2024 |

| | Omar Vanegas | | |
|----|--|--|------|
| 79 | Diana Pérez-Arango Giovanni Andrés Camacho Murillo | Education and sustainable behavior A case study | 2024 |
| | | | |



FACULTAD DE ECONOMÍA



de TRABAJO